



Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.
Vol. (32) No. 7 pp 1123-1143,
2012

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

**APPLICATION OF PLANT COMPOST ENRICHED WITH SOME
MICROORGANISMS AS A PARTIAL REPLACEMENT FOR
MINERAL N FERTILIZERS IN CRIMSON SEEDLESS
GRAPEVINES**

M. R. G. El- Kareem^{*} ; F. F. Ahmed^{} ; M. A. Hussein^{*} and A. E.
M. Madian^{**}**

^{*} Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Souhag Univ. Egypt.

^{**} Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

Received 12 Nov. 2012

Accepted 17 Dec. 2012

ABSTRACT

Crimson seedless grapevines were fertilized with the suitable N (1.0 g N/ vine/ year) either completely via inorganic form or at 20 to 70 % inorganic N plus plant compost enriched with one of microorganism strain. Seven microorganism strains namely *Bacillus polymyxa*, *actinomyces*, *Spirulina plantensis*, EM, *Azospirillum sp*, *Bacillus circulanse* and *Azotobacter vinelandii* were used during 2011 and 2012 seasons. The study focused on examining the effect of these N management on growth, vine nutritional status and fruiting of the vines.

Results showed that reducing inorganic N percentage from 100 to 0 % and increasing percentages of the enriched plant compost with microorganisms caused a gradual promotion on main shoot length, leaf area, leaf N %, berry setting %, yield and cluster weight. Berry weight, red coloured berries %, leaf content of P & K, Mg, plant pigments, T.S.S %, total sugars %, T.S.S/ acid, dehydrogenase activity, the amount of CO₂, total counts of bacteria in the soil gradually enhanced with reducing inorganic N from 100 to 20 % and increasing the enriched compost percentages from 0 to 70 %. Enriching plant compost with *actinomyces*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus*

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

circulanse, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM, in ascending order was very effective in this respect. Negative effects on fruiting were observed with using inorganic N at percentages lower than 0.0 % of the suitable N.

Fertilizing Crimson seedless grapevines with N at 1.0 g/ vine/ year via 0.0 % inorganic N plus 0.0 % compost enriched with EM was suggested to be beneficial for improving yield quantitatively and qualitatively. At the same time this treatment reduced the problem of uneven colouration of clusters and pollution of the berries with nitrite.

INTRODUCTION

Crimson seedless grapevine cv. become the preferred red seedless grape in supermarkets worldwide because of their exceptional shelf life. Berries of such cv. have a very distinctive, sweet, juice, flavour and elongated and pal pink berries. Also, grapes have a higher sugar content, with half as glucose and half as fructose. Grapes also, contain adequate amounts of potassium, vitamin A and dietary fiber (Passingham, 2004). Such grapevine cv. is considered a prime and popular grape cv. successfully grown under Egypt conditions. It pruns with cane system. The dangerous drawback of such grapevine cv. is the uneven colouration of clusters as well as the poor berry setting % (Jakson, 1988). Such defects greatly declined marketing of this cv. in the local and foreign markets. Adjusting the amount of N in vineyards as mentioned by many authors and pomologists was found to control growth and alleviate the undesirable phenomena occurred in berry characteristics (Weaver, 1976). Many trials had shown the important of organic and biofertilization as a partial replacement of mineral N in fruit orchards (Kannaiyan, 2002). Controlling the uptake of N by organic and biofertilization was accompanied with enhancing yield quantitatively and qualitatively as well as checking undesirable phenomena and environment pollution (Dahama, 1999).

Previous studies confirmed the beneficial effects of controlling the uptake of N by using organic and biofertilization on fruiting of grapevines cvs. All studies advised to use N through all sources i.e. inorganic, organic and bioforms (Seleem- Basma and Telep, 2008;

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

Eman *et al.*, ٢٠٠٨; Madian, ٢٠١٠; Uwakiem, ٢٠١١; Abada *et al.*, ٢٠١٠; Abd El- Hameed *et al.*, ٢٠١٠; Abd El- Aziz, ٢٠١١; Ahmed *et al.*, ٢٠١٢a and ٢٠١٢b).

The goal of this study was examining the effect of different proportions of inorganic N as well as plant compost enriched with some microorganisms on yield and quality of Crimson seedless grapevines. Selecting the best mineral N proportion and microorganisms applied with plant compost that responsible for enhancing yield and berries quality was considered as another target.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out during ٢٠١١ and ٢٠١٢ seasons on ١٣٢ uniform in vigour ٦- years old Crimson seedless grapevines (own rooted). The vines are grown in clay soil and planted at ٢ × ٣ meters apart in a private vineyard located at Matay district, Minia Governorate. The chosen vines were irrigated with surface irrigation. Winter pruning was done at the middle of Jan. by using cane pruning method with Gable shape supporting system leaving ٧٢ eyes/ vine (on the basis of six fruiting canes × ten eyes + six renewal spurs × two eyes).

Table ١: Analysis of the soil at the trial location:

Constituents	Values
Sand %	: ٤.٥
Silt %	: ١٥.٥
Clay %	: ٨٠.٠
Texture	: Clay
pH (١:٢.٥ extract)	: ٧.٩١
E.C (١: ٢.٥ extract) (mmhos/ ١ cm ٢٥° C)	: ١.٠٠
CaCO _٣ %	: ١.٧٩
Total N %	: ٠.٠٧
Available K (ammonium acetate, ppm)	: ٤٠٠
Available P (Olsen method, ppm)	: ٥.١

All the selected vines received regular horticultural practices expect those dealing the application of inorganic, organic and biofertilization.

The present work included the following twenty two treatments:

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

1. Application of the suitable N (80 g N/ vine/ year) completely via inorganic N ammonium sulphate (20.6 % N) (388 g/ vine).
2. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form (291 g ammonium sulphate/ vine) + 50 % plant compost (20 % N) enriched with *Bacillus polymyxa* (1.5 kg compost/ vine).
3. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form (291 g ammonium sulphate/ vine) + 50 % plant compost enriched with actinomyces (1.5 kg/ vine).
4. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Spirulina plantensis* (1.5 kg/ vine).
5. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with EM (effective microorganisms) (80.5 g/ vine).
6. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Azospirillum* sp (89.5 g/ vine).
7. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Bacillus circulans* (89.5 g/ vine).
8. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Azotobacter vinelandii* (89.5 g/ vine).
9. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form (192 g ammonium sulphate/ vine) + 50 % plant compost (20.5 kg/ vine) enriched with *Bacillus polymyxa*.
10. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with actinomyces (20.5 kg/ vine).
11. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Spirulina plantensis* (20.5 kg/ vine).
12. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with EM (1.6 kg/ vine).
13. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Azospirillum* sp (1.6 kg/ vine).
14. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Bacillus circulans* (1.6 kg/ vine).
15. Application of 50 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 50 % plant compost enriched with *Azotobacter vinelandii* (1.6 kg/ vine).

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

16. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form (179 g ammonium sulphate/ vine) + 40 % plant compost (3.1 kg/ vine) enriched with *Bacillus polymyxa*.
17. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with actinomyces (3.1 kg/ vine).
18. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with *Spirulina plantensis* (3.1 kg/ vine).
19. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with EM (2.2 kg/ vine).
20. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with *Azospirillum* sp (2.67 kg/ vine).
21. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with *Bacillus circulans* (2.67 kg/ vine).
22. Application of 20 % of the suitable N via inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with *Azotobacter vinelandii* (2.67 kg/ vine).

Each treatment was replicated three times, two vines per each.

Table 2: Analysis of untreated plant compost:

Character	Values
Moisture %	: 26.6
pH (1:2.0 extract)	: 8.2
E.C (1: 2.0 extract) (mmhos/ 1 cm 25° C)	: 4.1
O.M. %	: 60
Total N %	: 2.0
Total P %	: 1.0
Total K %	: 1.3
Available micronutrients (ppm)	
Fe (ppm)	: 102.0
Mn (ppm)	: 110.0
Cu (ppm)	: 180.0
Zn (ppm)	: 28.0

Preparation of plant compost enriched with biofertilizers:

Different layers of plant wastes namely leaves of bananas (0.5 kg), old Farm yard manure (F.Y.M.) (1.5 kg), clover (1.5 kg) and clay (1.5 kg) and one of the seven biofertilizers 0.5 ml *Bacillus polymyxa* containing 1×10^8 cell, 0.5 ml *actinomyces*, 0.5 ml

M. R. G. El- Kareem et al.

Spirulina plantensis containing 1×10^7 cell, 50 ml Effective microorganisms containing 50 ml *Azospirillum sp* containing 1×10^8 cells, 50 ml *Bacillus circulanse* containing 1×10^9 or *Azotobacter vinelandii* containing 1×10^9 cells were put above ground in pile with area of 3 m length, 3 m width and 2.0 m height. Afterwards, pile components were stirred repeatedly every one week with adjusting moisture content till they ripened where temperature was lowered. These types of enriched composts were analyzed and used.

Table 3: Analysis of different types of compost:

Types of compost	Values			
	OM %	N %	P %	K %
Compost enriched with <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i>	60.0	2.00	0.33	0.29
Compost enriched with <i>actinomyces</i>	60.0	2.00	0.30	0.27
Compost enriched with <i>Spirulina plantensis</i>	61.0	2.00	0.30	0.31
Compost enriched with EM	60.0	2.00	0.40	0.44
Compost enriched with <i>Azospirillum sp</i>	62.3	2.20	0.38	0.30
Compost enriched with <i>Bacillus circulanse</i>	61.6	2.20	0.36	0.33
Compost enriched with <i>Azotobacter vinelandii</i>	63.4	2.20	0.39	0.37

All types of compost were applied once after winter pruning in large higs beyond the trunk of each vine. Mineral N fertilizer was spitted into three unequal batches, 50 % at growth start (1st of April), 20 % just after berry setting % (1st week of June) and 20 % at one month later (1st week of July). Complete randomized block design was adopted.

Main shoot length (cm.) and leaf area (cm²) (according to Ahmed and Morsy, 1999) were recorded at the middle of July during both seasons.

Twenty leaves picked from the leaves opposite to the basal clusters for each vine (according to Balo et al., 1988) were selected for determining plant pigments (in the first leaves) namely chlorophyll a & b, total chlorophylls and total carotenoids (mg/ 100 g F.W) (according to Fadl and Sari El- Deen, 1978). Dried petioles were saved for determining of N, P, K & Mg (as percentages) and Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu (as ppm) according to the method that outlined by Chapman and Pratt (1960).

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

Berry set % was calculated by dividing the number of attached berries/ cluster by total number of flowers (attached fruitlets + dropped flowers + dropped fruitlets in the bags) and multiplying the product by 100.

Harvesting took place when T.S.S/ acid in the berries of the check treatment reached at least 20: 1 (middle of Sept.) (according to Weaver, 1976). Yield expressed in number of clusters/ vine and weight (kg.) was recorded.

Five clusters from each vine were taken at random for determination of cluster weight (g.), berry weight (g.), red coloured berries % (by dividing number of red berries by total number of berries/ cluster and multiplying the product by 100), T.S.S %, total sugars % (Lane and Eynon, 1960), total acidity % (as g tartaric acid/ 100 ml juice) and T.S.S/ acid (A.O.A.C., 1990).

At the end of the study, the amount of CO₂ (mg/ 100 g soil, (Paul and Clark, 1996), dehydrogenase activity (μ TPF/ 1.0 g soil/ one hour) (Casida *et al.*, 1964) and total counts of bacteria (1.0 g soil) (according to Cochran, 1950 and Abd El- Malek and Ishac, 1960) were determined. Nitrite (ppm) in the juice was determined (according to Ridnour- Lisa *et al.*, 2000).

Statistical analysis was done according to Mead *et al.*, (1993). Comparisons between treatments means were made by using new L.S.D at 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Growth characters:-

It is clear from the data in Table (4) that varying percentages of inorganic N and plant compost enriched with various microorganisms had significant effect on growth characters. Fertilizing the vines with the suitable N (1.0 g/ vine) through 0 to 100 % inorganic N plus compost enriched with different microorganism at 20 to 0 % significantly stimulated the leaf area and shoot length in comparison to supplying the vines with N completely via inorganic from or using inorganic N at percentages lower than 0 % (20 %). Using N completely via mineral N was superior than using N via mineral N at

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

percentages lower than 50 % in enhancing such two growth characters. A significant reduction was observed with using N via mineral N source at 20 %. Combined application of N through inorganic N at 50 to 70 % plus compost enriched with microorganisms surpassed the application of N via mineral N alone. Varying microorganism applied with plant compost had significant effect on such two growth traits. In ascending order enriching plant compost with *actinomyces*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus circulans*, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM was significantly very effective in stimulating growth characters. Fertilizing the vines with N through 50 % inorganic + plant compost enriched with EM at 50 % gave the maximum values. The lowest values were recorded with using N via 20 % inorganic N + plant compost enriched with *actinomyces* at 70 %. These results were similar during both seasons.

The beneficial effect of organic and biofertilization on improving soil fertility in terms of reducing soil pH and salinity as well as enhancing organic matter, natural hormones, antibiotics, plant resistant against various diseases and the availability and uptake of nutrients could explain the present results (Dahama, 1999 and Kannaiyan, 2002).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Ahmed *et al.*, (2012a) and (2012b).

2- Leaf content of N:-

Data in Table (2) showed that supplying the vines with N through 50 to 70 % inorganic N along with application of plant compost and microorganism significantly enhanced leaf content of N. Application of N completely via inorganic form or using N at percentages lower than 50 % even with application of biofertilizers resulted in lower values. The application of N as mineral source at 100 % of the suitable N significantly stimulated N when compared with using N at mineral source at percentages lower than 20 %. In ascending order, the efficiency of microorganisms applied with plant compost on enhancing leaf content of N could be arranged as follows,

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

actinomyces, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus circulanse*, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM. The best microorganism was EM, followed by *Azotobacter vinelandii*. Actinomyces occupied the last position in this respect. Using N via 100% mineral N + compost enriched with EM at 100% effectively maximized leaf N content. The lowest values of N was recorded with supplying the vines with N through 100% mineral source + 100% plant compost enriched with *actinomyces*. Similar results were observed during both seasons.

The beneficial effect of using organic and biofertilization on enhancing the leaf content of N was mainly attributed to their positive action on increasing N fixation and the availability of N (Dahama, 1999 and Kannaiyan, 2002).

The results of Uwakiem (2011) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012c) emphasized the present results.

3- Leaf content of P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu and pigment:-

It is clear from the data in Tables (3 & 4) that application of N through 100 to 100% inorganic along with all plant composts enriched with microorganisms at 100 to 100% significantly enhanced nutrients in the leaves (P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu). Also, plant pigment was supported in relative to using N completely via inorganic form. The stimulation on these mineral and organic nutrients was associated with reducing inorganic N form 100 to 100% and at the same time increasing percentages of plant compost enriched with microorganisms from 100 to 100% from 100 to 100%. The promotive effect of the seven microorganisms applied with compost on these nutrients was arranged as follows in ascending order *actinomyces*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus circulanse*, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM.

Fertilizing the vines with N as 100% inorganic N plus 100% plant compost enriched with EM at 100% effectively maximized these nutrients. The minimum values were recorded on the vines that received N completely via inorganic form. Similar results were declared during both seasons.

Table 4: Effect of inorganic N and compost enriched with different microorganisms on leaf area, main shoot length and leaf content of N, P, K, Mg and Zn of Crimson seedless grapevines during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

Treatment	Leaf area (cm ²)		Main shoot length (cm.)		Leaf N %		Leaf P %		Leaf K %		Leaf Mg %		Leaf Zn (ppm)	
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
100 % inorganic fertilizer	109.0	112.0	107.1	107.9	1.79	1.80	0.11	0.11	1.29	1.30	0.01	0.00	01.0	00.2
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	112.2	112.2	110.2	111.0	1.81	1.90	0.14	0.13	1.43	1.36	0.06	0.02	04.1	00.2
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	111.0	112.4	109.1	109.9	1.74	1.82	0.12	0.11	1.27	1.30	0.04	0.00	02.0	02.1
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	112.2	112.2	111.2	112.0	1.87	1.96	0.16	0.10	1.00	1.42	0.08	0.04	06.2	02.2
70 % inorganic+30 % compost EM	121.0	122.1	119.1	120.0	2.19	2.29	0.28	0.27	1.70	1.68	0.19	0.10	12.0	12.0
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	116.2	117.4	114.0	110.2	2.01	2.10	0.22	0.21	1.62	1.00	0.12	0.09	09.0	10.1
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	114.0	110.4	112.6	112.2	1.90	2.04	0.19	0.18	1.00	1.48	0.10	0.06	08.2	09.4
70 % inorganic+30 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	118.9	120.0	116.9	117.7	2.07	2.16	0.20	0.22	1.70	1.62	0.16	0.12	10.0	11.1
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	124.1	120.2	122.0	122.8	2.34	2.42	0.20	0.24	1.90	1.82	0.12	0.19	16.0	16.1
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	122.9	124.0	120.7	121.0	2.29	2.28	0.21	0.20	1.82	1.77	0.11	0.17	14.0	10.2
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	126.2	122.2	124.2	120.0	2.41	2.00	0.27	0.26	1.90	1.88	0.10	0.11	18.0	19.1
00 % inorganic+100 % compost EM	129.2	130.2	127.2	128.0	2.76	2.80	0.00	0.01	2.10	2.08	0.16	0.12	17.2	18.2
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	128.0	129.0	126.1	126.9	2.00	2.16	0.22	0.24	2.00	1.98	0.10	0.16	12.2	12.0
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	127.1	128.1	120.0	120.8	2.48	2.06	0.20	0.20	2.00	1.92	0.17	0.11	10.0	11.2
00 % inorganic+100 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	129.0	130.1	127.0	127.9	2.69	2.78	0.26	0.20	2.10	2.02	0.12	0.18	10.0	11.2
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	100.1	101.2	98.2	99.0	1.46	1.0	0.00	0.04	2.20	2.22	0.12	0.18	12.0	12.9
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	90.0	96.1	92.1	94.0	1.41	1.00	0.02	0.01	2.21	2.14	0.19	0.10	10.0	11.0
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	100.9	102.0	98.7	99.0	1.01	1.60	0.08	0.07	2.26	2.20	0.12	0.19	10.0	11.2
20 % inorganic+80 % compost EM	106.2	107.2	104.0	104.9	1.72	1.81	0.19	0.18	2.62	2.06	0.17	0.12	10.0	11.2
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	102.2	104.2	101.2	102.0	1.09	1.68	0.14	0.12	2.00	2.42	0.10	0.10	10.0	11.2
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	102.0	102.1	100.0	101.0	1.00	1.60	0.11	0.10	2.42	2.26	0.14	0.10	11.0	11.0

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	100.0	107.0	103.3	104.3	117.6	117.4	107.6	107.0	100.0	104.8	109.6	109.2	92.0	93.1
New L.S.D at 5 %	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.3

Table 5: Effect of inorganic N and compost enriched with different microorganisms on the leaf content of Mn, Fe and Cu as well as some plant pigments of Crimson seedless grapevines during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

Treatment	Leaf Mn (ppm)		Leaf Fe (ppm)		Leaf Cu (ppm)		Chlorophyll a (mg/100 g F.W)		Chlorophyll b (mg/100 g F.W)		Total chlorophylls (mg/100 g F.W)		Total carotinoids (mg/100 g F.W)	
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
	100 % inorganic fertilizer	69.1	70.0	70.0	70.9	2.4	3.0	11.2	12.1	2.9	3.2	14.1	10.3	1.9
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	72.0	72.8	72.9	73.8	2.7	3.3	11.7	12.0	3.3	3.6	14.9	16.1	2.2	3.1
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	70.7	71.4	71.0	72.4	2.6	3.2	11.4	12.3	3.1	3.4	14.0	10.7	2.1	3.0
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	73.0	74.4	74.4	70.3	2.8	3.4	11.8	12.7	3.6	3.9	10.4	17.6	2.3	3.2
20 % inorganic+20 % compost EM	71.0	71.9	71.9	73.0	3.4	4.0	12.8	12.7	4.8	0.0	17.6	17.7	2.7	3.6
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	76.2	77.0	77.0	77.0	3.1	3.7	12.2	13.1	4.2	4.0	16.4	17.6	2.0	3.4
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	70.0	77.0	70.9	77.0	2.9	3.0	12.0	12.8	3.9	4.1	10.9	17.9	2.4	3.3
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	78.4	79.3	79.4	70.7	3.3	3.9	12.0	13.4	4.0	4.8	17.0	18.2	2.6	3.0
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	74.0	74.9	74.9	70.8	3.8	4.4	13.3	14.2	0.2	0.0	18.0	19.7	3.1	4.0
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	72.0	73.4	73.4	74.4	3.7	4.3	13.0	13.9	0.0	0.3	18.0	19.2	2.9	3.8
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	70.1	77.0	77.0	77.0	3.9	4.0	13.7	14.0	0.0	0.8	19.1	20.3	3.3	4.2
00 % inorganic+20 % compost EM	81.9	82.0	82.8	83.8	4.7	0.2	10.0	10.9	7.9	7.2	21.9	23.1	4.0	4.8
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	78.0	78.9	78.8	79.9	4.2	4.8	14.4	10.3	7.1	7.0	20.0	21.8	3.6	4.0
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	77.2	77.0	77.0	78.0	4.0	4.7	14.0	14.9	0.9	7.2	19.9	21.2	3.0	4.4
00 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	80.0	80.9	80.9	81.9	4.4	0.0	14.7	10.0	7.4	7.7	21.0	21.9	3.8	4.7
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	87.0	87.9	87.8	87.7	0.2	0.8	10.7	17.0	8.0	8.3	23.7	24.8	4.0	0.4
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	84.0	84.7	80.0	87.0	0.0	0.7	10.3	17.2	7.0	7.8	22.8	24.0	4.2	0.2
20 % inorganic+20 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	87.0	88.0	89.0	90.3	0.0	7.1	17.0	17.8	8.7	9.0	24.7	20.8	4.8	0.7
20 % inorganic+20 % compost EM	94.1	90.0	90.1	97.1	7.4	7.1	18.3	19.2	10.4	10.7	28.7	29.9	0.9	7.8

M. R. G. El- Kareem et al.

۲۰ % inorganic+۲۰ % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	۹۱.۰	۹۲.۴	۹۲.۰	۹۳.۰	۰.۹	۶.۰	۱۷.۰	۱۷.۸	۹.۰	۹.۸	۲۶.۰	۲۷.۶	۰.۲	۶.۱
۲۰ % inorganic+۲۰ % compost <i>B. circulans</i>	۹۰.۰	۹۰.۸	۹۰.۹	۹۱.۹	۰.۷	۶.۳	۱۶.۶	۱۷.۰	۹.۰	۹.۳	۲۰.۶	۲۶.۸	۰.۰	۰.۹
۲۰ % inorganic+۲۰ % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	۹۳.۰	۹۴.۰	۹۴.۹	۹۰.۹	۶.۰	۶.۶	۱۷.۰	۱۸.۴	۹.۹	۱۰.۲	۲۷.۴	۲۸.۶	۰.۰	۶.۰
New L.S.D at ۰ %	۱.۱	۱.۲	۱.۰	۰.۹	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲	۰.۲

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

The beneficial effect of organic and biofertilization on enhancing soil fertility and lowering soil pH effectively enhanced uptake of nutrients and building of pigments which may explain the present results. The higher own content of organic fertilizers from different nutrients could give another explanation.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abada *et al.*, (۲۰۱۲) and Ahmed *et al.*, (۲۰۱۲a).

۴- Berry set, yield and cluster weight:-

Data in Table (۶) obviously show that using N through ۰.۰ to ۷۰ % inorganic N plus ۲۰ to ۰.۰ % plant composts enriched with various microorganisms significantly improved berry setting %, yield expressed in weight number of clusters/ vine and cluster weight in comparison to using N completely via inorganic form or when mineral N was applied at percentages lower than ۰.۰ %. Using N as ۲۰ % inorganic N plus ۷۰ % plant compost enriched with any microorganism significantly reduced berry setting %, yield and cluster weight comparing with using N completely via inorganic form. Enriching plant compost with *actinomyces*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus circulanse*, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM in ascending order was significantly very effective in improving the berry setting %, yield and cluster weight. The best three biofertilizers applied with compost were EM, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Azospirillum sp*.

A significant decline on the berry setting, yield and cluster was observed with reducing percentages of inorganic N form from ۰.۰ to ۲۰ % even with the application of different plant composts. The present treatments had no significant effect on the number of clusters per vine in the first season of study. The best results were revealed with using the suitable N through ۰.۰ % inorganic form plus ۰.۰ % plant compost enriched with EM. Under such promised treatment, yield reached ۹.۶ and ۱۱.۴ while was the lowest (۶.۰ and ۶.۶ kg.) in vines treated with N via ۲۰ % inorganic + ۷۰ % plus compost enriched with actinomyces during both seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

Table ٦: Effect of inorganic N and compost enriched with different microorganisms on berry setting %, yield, number of clusters, cluster weight, berry weight, red coloured berries % and T.S.S % in the grapes of Crimson seedless grapevines during ٢٠١١ and ٢٠١٢ seasons.

Treatment	Berry setting %		No. of clusters/ vine		Yield/ vine (g.)		Cluster weight (g.)		Berry weight (g.)		Red coloured berries %		T.S.S %	
	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢
	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢	٢٠١١	٢٠١٢
١٠٠ % inorganic fertilizer	٩.٥	٩.٨	٢١.٠	٢٧.٠	٧.٤	٩.٣	٣٥١	٣٤٣	٣.٨٠	٣.٧٣	٥٧.٠	٥٧.٦	١٨.٠	١٨.٢
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	١٠.٠	١٠.٣	٢١.٠	٢٨.٠	٧.٧	١٠.١	٣٦٩	٣٦١	٣.٨٦	٣.٧٩	٦٢.٠	٦٣.١	١٨.٥	١٨.٨
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	٩.٨	١٠.١	٢١.٠	٢٨.٠	٧.٦	٩.٩	٣٦٠	٣٥٢	٣.٨٣	٣.٧٦	٦٠.٠	٦١.٣	١٨.٢	١٨.٥
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	١٠.٢	١٠.٥	٢١.٠	٢٩.٠	٧.٨	١٠.٥	٣٧٠	٣٦٢	٣.٩٠	٣.٨٣	٦٤.٠	٦٥.٣	١٩.٢	١٩.٥
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost EM	١١.٢	١١.٥	٢١.٠	٣٢.٠	٨.٤	١٢.٦	٤٠١	٣٩٣	٤.٠١	٣.٩٤	٧٤.٠	٧٥.٣	٢٠.٥	٢٠.٧
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	١٠.٨	١١.١	٢١.٠	٣٠.٠	٨.٢	١١.٦	٣٩٥	٣٨٨	٣.٩٥	٣.٨٨	٦٨.٠	٦٩.٢	٢٠.٠	٢٠.٣
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	١٠.٦	١١.٠	٢١.٠	٣٠.٠	٨.٠	١١.٢	٣٨٠	٣٧٢	٣.٩٣	٣.٨٦	٦٦.٠	٦٧.٢	١٩.٥	١٩.٨
٧٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	١١.٠	١١.٤	٢١.٠	٣٠.٠	٨.٤	١١.٨	٤٠٠	٣٩٢	٣.٩٨	٣.٩١	٧١.٠	٧٢.٣	٢٠.٢	٢٠.٥
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	١٢.٠	١٢.٣	٢٢.٠	٣٣.٠	٨.٩	١٣.٠	٤٠٥	٣٩٥	٤.٠٧	٤.٠٠	٧٨.٠	٧٩.١	٢١.٠	٢١.٣
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	١١.٦	١١.٩	٢٢.٠	٣٣.٠	٨.٩	١٣.٠	٤٠٣	٣٩٣	٤.٠٤	٣.٩٧	٧٦.٠	٧٧.٢	٢٠.٨	٢١.٠
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	١٢.٢	١٢.٥	٢٢.٠	٣٣.٠	٩.٠	١٣.٢	٤١٠	٤٠٠	٤.١٠	٤.٠٣	٨٠.٠	٨١.٣	٢١.٣	٢١.٥
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost EM	١٣.١	١٣.٤	٢٢.٠	٣٥.٠	٩.٦	١٤.٤	٤٣٥	٣٢٧	٤.٢٠	٤.١٣	٨٦.٠	٨٧.٩	٢٢.٥	٢٢.٨
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	١٢.٦	١٢.٩	٢٢.٠	٣٤.٠	٩.٤	١٤.٢	٤٢٥	٤١٧	٤.١٥	٤.٠٨	٨٣.٠	٨٤.١	٢١.٧	٢٢.٠
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	١٢.٥	١٢.٨	٢٢.٠	٣٤.٠	٩.٢	١٤.٠	٤٢٠	٤١١	٤.١٢	٤.٠٥	٨٢.٠	٨٣.٤	٢١.٩	٢٢.٨
٥٠ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	١٢.٨	١٣.١	٢٢.٠	٣٥.٠	٩.٥	١٤.٨	٤٣٠	٤٢٢	٤.١٧	٤.١٠	٨٤.٠	٨٥.٥	٢٢.٠	٢٢.٣
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	٨.١	٨.٤	٢١.٠	٢٣.٠	٦.٧	٧.٢	٣٢٠	٣١١	٤.٢٦	٤.١٦	٨٧.٠	٨٨.٣	٢٣.٠	٢٣.٣
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	٧.٧	٨.٠	٢١.٠	٢٢.٠	٦.٥	٦.٦	٣١٠	٣٠١	٤.٢٣	٤.١٣	٨٦.٥	٨٨.٠	٢٢.٧	٢٣.٠
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	٨.٠	٨.٣	٢١.٠	٢٤.٠	٦.٧	٧.٥	٣٢٠	٣١١	٤.٣٠	٤.٢٠	٨٧.٣	٨٨.٤	٢٣.٢	٢٣.٥
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost EM	٩.١	٩.٤	٢٢.٠	٢٦.٠	٧.٥	٨.٦	٣٤٠	٣٣٢	٤.٤٢	٤.٣٩	٨٨.٥	٨٩.٠	٢٤.٠	٢٤.٢
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	٨.٥	٨.٨	٢١.٠	٢٤.٠	٦.٩	٧.٧	٣٣٠	٣٢١	٤.٤٠	٤.٢٧	٨٨.٠	٨٨.٧	٢٣.٧	٢٤.٠
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	٨.٣	٨.٦	٢١.٠	٢٤.٠	٦.٩	٧.٧	٣٣٠	٣٢١	٤.٣٣	٤.٢٢	٨٧.٥	٨٨.٦	٢٣.٥	٢٣.٧
٢٥ % inorganic+٢٥ % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	٨.٨	٩.١	٢١.٠	٢٥.٠	٧.٠	٨.٢	٣٣٥	٣٢٧	٤.٣٦	٤.٣٠	٨٨.٣	٨٨.٨	٢٣.٦	٢٣.٨
New L.S.D at ٥ %	٠.٢	٠.٢	NS	٢.٠	٠.٣	٠.٤	١١.٠	١١.٣	٠.٠٣	٠.٠٣	١.٩	١.٨	٠.٢	٠.٢

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

The positive action of organic and biofertilization on growth, vine nutritional status, berry setting % and cluster weight surely reflected on improving the yield.

These results are in concordance with those obtained by Madian (2010), Uwakiem (2011) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012a).

◦- Some physical and chemical characteristics of the berries:-

Data in Table (7 & 8) show that application of N through 20 to 40 % inorganic form plus 20 to 40 % plant compost enriched with any of the seven biofertilizers significantly improved quality of the berries. The treatment increased berry weight, red coloured berries %, total soluble solids %, total sugars %, T.S.S/ acid and reducing total acidity % in comparison to using N completely via inorganic form (100 % mineral N). The promotion was associated with reducing inorganic N from 100 to 20 %. At the same time increasing percentages of enriched composts from 0.1 to 40 %. Using EM followed by *Azotobacter vinelandii* with compost achieved the best effect on coloured berries. Percentages of quality of the berries was significantly increased from 67 % in the vines treated completely with inorganic N. At the same time quality of the vines treated with N as 20 % inorganic + 40 % compost enriched with EM was improved by 92 % in the first season of study and from 57.6 % to 93.0 % in the second one. The best results with regard to quality of the berries were recorded with supplying the vines with their requirements from N via 20 % inorganic form + 40 % plant compost enriched with EM. Unfavourable effects on fruit quality were observed with using N completely via inorganic form. These results were similar during both seasons.

The effect of organic and biofertilization on enhancing uptake of all nutrients particularly N, Mg and micronutrients surely reflected on enhancing the biosynthesis of plant pigments and total carbohydrates consequently advancing fruit maturity (Dahama, 1999 and Kannaiyan, 2002).

These results are in harmony with obtained by Madian (2010), Abada *et al.*, (2010) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012).

٦- Nitrite in the juice:

Data in Table (٧) show that all treatments comprised from the application of N through inorganic and enriched composts significantly had a reduction effect on nitrite content in the juice in comparison to the vines that fertilized with N through inorganic form only. The reduction on nitrite in the juice was associated with reducing inorganic form of N and increasing the percentages of plant compost enriched with the different microorganisms. The types of microorganism applied with compost that responsible for producing the minimum values was EM, followed by *Azotobacter vinelandii*. The maximum values were presented on the vines that received N completely via inorganic form. Similar results were obtained during both seasons.

These results might be attributed to reduction in mineral N uptake due to using organic and biofertilizers as a replacement of inorganic source.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Abada *et al.*, (٢٠١٠) and Ahmed *et al.*, (٢٠١٢b).

٧- Values of dehydrogenase activity, total counts of bacteria and the amount of CO₂ in the soil:

Data in Table (٧) clearly show that dehydrogenase activity, total counts of bacteria and the amount of CO₂ in the soil significantly were enhanced in response to application of N through mineral N plus plant compost in comparison to application of N completely via inorganic form. The promotion on such soil characters was significantly associated with reducing inorganic N % and increasing plant compost %. Enriching plant compost with *actinomyces*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Spirulina plantensis*, *Bacillus circulanse*, *Azospirillum sp*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and EM, in ascending order was very effective in enhancing such characters. The maximum values were recorded in the soil that treated with N via ٢٠ % inorganic + ٧٠ % plant compost enriched with EM. Amending the soil with N completely via inorganic N form gave the lowest values. These results were similar during both seasons.

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

Table V: Effect of inorganic N and compost enriched with different microorganisms on some chemical characteristics of the grapes and dehydrogenase activity, total counts of bacteria, CO₂ and nitrite in the soil of Crimson seedless grapevines during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

Treatment	Total sugars %		Total acidity %		T.S.S/ acid		Nitrite (ppm)		Dehydrogenase activity TPF (µg/ dwt g soil/ 1 hr)		Total counts of bacteria(1 ⁶ g soil/ 1 hr)		CO ₂ (mg/ 1 ⁶ g dry soil)	
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
100 % inorganic fertilizer	17.1	17.3	0.711	0.720	20.3	20.3	1.80	1.73	0.09	0.20	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	11.1	11.4
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	17.7	17.9	0.711	0.718	27.7	27.7	1.71	1.73	0.79	0.18	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	13.0	14.3
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	17.3	17.0	0.714	0.719	27.2	27.0	1.76	1.79	0.39	0.00	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	11.9	13.3
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	17.9	17.1	0.700	0.709	29.0	29.7	1.77	1.70	0.89	0.10	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	14.0	10.3
50 % inorganic+50 % compost EM	17.7	17.8	0.700	0.710	34.2	33.9	1.71	1.03	0.70	0.18	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	20.0	21.3
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	17.1	17.3	0.710	0.720	32.8	32.7	1.00	1.48	0.20	0.13	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	17.2	17.0
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	17.0	17.3	0.720	0.730	31.0	31.4	1.70	1.03	0.10	0.11	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	10.1	17.4
50 % inorganic+50 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	17.3	17.0	0.710	0.710	33.4	33.3	1.41	1.34	0.10	0.17	7.7 ³	7.7 ³	18.0	19.3
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	18.2	18.0	0.691	0.700	30.0	30.0	0.97	0.90	0.22	0.23	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	23.0	24.3
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	18.0	18.2	0.690	0.700	30.0	34.7	1.00	0.97	0.19	0.19	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	21.9	23.7
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	18.0	18.7	0.688	0.717	37.2	30.8	0.90	0.84	0.20	0.20	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	24.9	27.3
20 % inorganic+80 % compost EM	19.0	19.7	0.670	0.679	39.0	39.4	0.40	0.37	0.38	0.39	9.7 ³	9.7 ³	23.0	34.4
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	19.0	19.4	0.680	0.690	37.4	37.3	0.70	0.73	0.21	0.22	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	28.0	30.3
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	18.7	19.0	0.680	0.690	37.8	38.3	0.80	0.73	0.28	0.29	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	27.0	28.4
20 % inorganic+80 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	19.2	19.0	0.677	0.687	38.1	38.1	0.00	0.47	0.33	0.34	8.7 ³	8.7 ³	31.0	32.4
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>B. polymyxa</i>	20.2	20.0	0.670	0.670	41.1	40.9	0.30	0.27	0.44	0.40	9.7 ³	9.8 ³	38.0	39.1
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>actinomyces</i>	20.0	20.2	0.671	0.670	40.0	40.4	0.40	0.37	0.41	0.42	9.7 ³	9.7 ³	37.0	37.3
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>S. plantensis</i>	20.0	20.7	0.667	0.670	41.7	41.7	0.30	0.23	0.41	0.41	10.7 ³	10.7 ³	39.0	40.3
10 % inorganic+90 % compost EM	21.9	22.1	0.610	0.620	47.1	47.0	0.18	0.11	0.81	0.90	10.8 ³	10.9 ³	49.0	50.0
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>Azospirillum</i>	21.0	21.3	0.640	0.650	43.0	43.2	0.20	0.17	0.30	0.40	10.7 ³	10.7 ³	44.0	40.3
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>B. circulanse</i>	20.8	21.0	0.600	0.670	42.7	42.3	0.28	0.20	0.00	0.01	10.7 ³	10.7 ³	41.0	42.9
10 % inorganic+90 % compost <i>A. vinelandii</i>	21.2	21.7	0.640	0.650	43.7	43.3	0.22	0.10	0.00	0.07	10.7 ³	10.7 ³	47.0	47.4
New L.S.D at 0 %	0.2	0.2	0.010	0.017	0.7	0.0	0.03	0.03	0.21	0.29	--	--	1.0	1.0

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

This results might be attributed to the positive action of organic and biofertilization in enhancing microflora activity and making soil pH favourable for such microorganisms (Dahama, 1999 and Kannaiyan, 2002).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Ahmed *et al.*, (2012a) and (2012b).

As a conclusion, it is advises to supply Crimson seedless grapevines with N via 0.5 % inorganic N plus 0.5 % plant compost enriched with EM for improving productivity as well as alleviating the uneven colouration of clusters and juice pollution.

REFERENCES

- Abada, M.A.M.; Ibrahim- Asmaa, A. and Bondok- Sawsan, A.** (2010): How to reduce problems of soil and irrigation water salinity in Superior vineyards? Minufiya. Agric. Res. Vol. 30 No. 4 (2): 1977- 1997.
- Abd El- Aziz, Y. Z.** (2011): Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of organic fertilizer humic acid and some bio fertilizers. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Abd El- Hameed, H.M., Abada , M.A. and Seleem- Basma, M.** (2010): Reducing inorganic N fertilizer partially by using yeast, seaweed and farmyard manure extracts in Flame seedless Minia 3rd Conf .Agric. Environ. Sci. pp 81 – 89.
- Abd El-Malek, Y. and Ishac, Y. Z.** (1968): Evaluation of methods used in counting Azotobacter. J. Apple. Bat. 31: 269 – 270.
- Ahmed, F. F and Morsy, M. H.** (1999): A new method for measuring leaf area in different fruit species. Minia. J. of Agric .Rec. & Dev. 19: 97 – 100.
- Ahmed, F. F.; Abd El- aal, A. M. K. and Masoud, A. A. B.** (2012a): Attempts for reducing nitrite pollution on Ruby seedless grape by using some organic manures enriched

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

with E.M. Minufiya J. of Agric Res. Vol. 37 No. 3: 611 – 619.

- Ahmed, F. F.; Mohamed, M. A.; Ragab, M. A.; Merwad, E. A. M. and Mekawy, A. Y. (2012b):** Improving productivity of Thompson seedless grapevines by application of some vitamins, Humic acid and farmyard manure extract. Minia of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (32) No. 3 pp 131 – 140.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1990):** Official Methods of Analysis 14th Ed., A.O.A.C., Washington, D.C. U.S.A. pp. 490 – 510.
- Balo, E.; Prilesszky, G.; Happ, I.; Kaholami, M. and Vega. L. (1988):** Soil improvement and the use of leaf analysis for forecasting nutrient requirements of grapes. Potash Review (Subject 9, 2nd suite, No. 61: 1-5).
- Casida, L. E.; Klein, D. A. and Santoro, T. (1964):** Soil dehydrogenase activity soil. Sci. 98, 371 – 376.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. P. (1960):** Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Water. Univ. of California. Division of Agric., Sci. 172 – 173.
- Cochran, W. G. (1950):** Estimation of bacterial densities by means of the "most probable Number" Biometrics 6: 100-116.
- Dahama, A. K. (1999):** Organic farming for sustainable Agriculture. Agro Botanic, Daryagum, New Delhi, India, p. 208.
- Eman, A. E.; Saleh, M. S. and Mostafa, E. A. M. (2008):** Minimizing the quantity of mineral nitrogen fertilizers on grapevine by using humic acid, organic and biofertilizers. Res. J. of Agric. and bio. Sci. 4: 1, 46 – 50.
- Fadl, M. S and Seri El- Deen, S. A. (1978):** Effect of N Benzyl adenine on photosynthesis pigments total sugars on olive seedling growth under saline condition, Res. Bull. No. 845, Fac. Agric. Ain shams Univ.
- Jackson, D. (1988):** Poor fruit set in vines. The significance of early bunch- stem necrosis. Australian & New Zealand Vine

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

Industry J. 3 (2): 22-23. (En, 2 ref., 1 col. Pa.) Dept. of Hort.
Lincoln College, Canterbury, New Zealand.

Kannaiyan, S. (2002): Biotechnology of Biofertilizers Alpha Sci.
Inter. Ltd. P.O. Box 206 Pang Boorne R. 78 M.K. pp 1-270.

Lane, J. H. and Eynon, L. (1965): Determination of reducing sugars
by means of Fehling's solution with methylene blue as
indicator A.O.A.C. Washington D.C.U.S.A. pp. 100-110.

Madian, A.M. (2010): Adjusting the best source and proportion of
mineral, organic and bionitrogen fertilizers on Red Roomy
grapevines. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.,
Egypt.

Mead, R.; Currow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993): Statistical
Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology. Second
Ed. Chapman & Hall London. pp 10 - 22.

Passingham, J. V. (2004): On the growing of grapevines in tropics.
Acta. Horticulture: VII International Stmposium on
Temperate Zone Fruits in the Tropics and Subtropics (60:
29 - 22).

Paul, E. A. and Clark, F. E. (1996): Soil Microbiology and
Biochemistry. 2nd Ed Academic Press, San Diego p 1 - 206.

Ridnour- Lisa, A.; Sim- Julia, E.; Michael, A. H.; David, A. W.;
Sean, M. M.; Garry, R. P. and Douglas, R. S. (2000): A
spectrophometric method for the direct and quantitation of
Nitric oxide, Nitrite and Nitrate in cell culture Media.
Analytical Biochemistry, 281, 223 - 229.

Seleem- Basma, M. and Telep, A.M. (2008): Effect of organic and
biofertilizers as a partial substitute for inorganic nitrogen in
Superior grapevines. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.
Vol. (28) No. 1 pp. 23 - 30.

Uwakiem, M. Kh. (2011): Effect of some organic, bio and slow
release N fertilizers as well as some antioxidants on
vegetative growth, yield and berries quality of Thompson
seedless grapevines Ph. D, Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Minia
Univ. Egypt.

Application of plant compost enriched with some microorganisms

Weaver, R. J. (١٩٧٦): Grape Growing. A Wiley Interscience Publication John Wiley & Davis, New York, London, Sydney, Tronto pp. ١٦٠ - ١٧٥.

استخدام كمبوست النبات الملقح ببعض الكائنات الحية الدقيقة كبدل جزئي للأسمدة النيتروجينية المعدنية في كروم العنب الكريسون سيدلس

محمود رياض جاد الكريم - محمد أحمد حسين

فيصل فاضل أحمد - علاء الدين محمد مدين

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة سوهاج - سوهاج - مصر .

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا - المنيا - مصر .

تم تسميد كرمات العنب الكريسون سيدلس بكمية النيتروجين المناسبة له (٨٠ جرام/ السنة) إما بالكامل فى الصورة الغير عضوية أو بنسبة ٢٥ إلى ٧٥ % نيتروجين غير عضوي جنباً على جنب مع كمبوست النبات الملقح بأحد السلالات الميكروبية الآتية: باسليس بوليمكسا - الأكتينومييسيس ، الأسيروولينا بلانتيسيس والكائنات الحية الدقيقة الفعالة والازوسبيرليم ، الباسليس سيركيولانس والأزوتوباكتر فلندياي وذلك خلال موسمي ٢٠١١ ، ٢٠١٢

تركزت الدراسة على اختبار تأثير معاملات النيتروجين هذه على النمو ، الحالة الغذائية للكرمات والإثمار فى هذه الكرمات.

أشارت نتائج الدراسة إلى أن تقليل النسبة المئوية المستخدمة من النيتروجين الغير عضوي من ١٠٠ إلى ٥٠ % من الكمية الموصى بها من النيتروجين وفى نفس الوقت زيادة النسبة المئوية المستخدمة من كمبوست النبات المزود ببعض الكائنات الحية الدقيقة يؤدي إلى تحسين تدريجي فى صفات طول النمو الرئيسي ومساحة الورقة ومحتوى الورقة

M. R. G. El- Kareem *et al.*

من النيتروجين والنسبة المئوية لعقد الحبات وكمية المحصول ووزن العنقود والحبة ، والنسبة المئوية للثمار ذات اللون الأحمر. أما محتوى الورقة من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والماغنسيوم والصبغات النباتية والنسبة المئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والسكريات والنسبة ما بين المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية إلى الحموضة ونشاط إنزيم الديهيدروجيناز وكمية غاز ك₂ المنبعثة والعدد الكلي لبكتريا فى التربة فقد كان يميل إلى الزيادة بتقليل النسبة المئوية المستخدمة من النيتروجين الغير عضوي من ١٠٠ إلى ٢٥ % وفى نفس الوقت زيادة النسبة المئوية المستخدمة من الكمبوست المزود بالكائنات الحية الدقيقة من صفر إلى ٧٥ % وكان تلقيح كمبوست النبات بالأكتينومييسيس ، الباسليس بوليمكسا ، الأسبيرولينا بلانتيسيس ، الباسليس سيركيولانس والازوسبيرليم والأزوتوباكتر فلندياي والكائنات الحية الدقيقة الفعالة مرتبة ترتيباً تنازلياً فعلاً جداً فى هذا الصدد.

كانت هناك تأثيرات سلبية على الإثمار فى الكرمات عند استخدام الصورة الغير عضوية للنيتروجين بنسبة مئوية تقل عن ٥٠ %.

يقترح تسميد كرمات العنب الكريسون سيدلس بالنيتروجين بمعدل ٨٠ جرام للكرمة فى السنة فى صورة ٥٠ % نيتروجين غير عضوي جنباً إلى جنب مع استخدام كمبوست النبات المزود بالكائنات الدقيقة الحية الفعالة (EM) وذلك لأجل تحسين المحصول كماً ونوعاً وفى نفس الوقت الحد من مشكلة عدم تجانس تلوين العناقيد وكذلك تلوث الحبات بالنيتريت.